



## CFLR Planning Meeting Agenda

Monday May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2015

USFS Plumas National Forest Conference Room

1:00-2:30pm

1:00-1:15—Welcome and updates since last meeting

*Ryan Tompkins- Input on website, want to give some scientific papers. Mission statement on website- can suggest some and post on the forum area.*

*Action Item: Ryan provide info from above.*

1:15-2:00—

- Website updates and statistics  
*Rachael – 562 visitors since the last meeting, some survey input but activity is focused on the home page. 14 people are signed up for email notifications.*  
*Ryan sent links to a Forest Service interactive map of priority watersheds to put on the site.*  
*Action Item: Rachael to move forums to home page, add link to FS interactive priority watershed map, add May 20<sup>th</sup> agenda.*
- Review comments from last meeting  
*Mike – people just not paying attention to activity on NF, not even planning for Secure Rural Schools funds, people not viewing the NF as important.*  
*Nancy – travel mgmt. has huge attention, field trips and lots of public involvement.*  
*Ryan – Veg mgmt. was a priority under QLG and isn't now (until next big fire – Nancy).*  
*Attendance was good though, need to get current projects updated for next meeting.*  
*Nancy - getting the feeling that CFLR funds may come back around. AB 590 incentivize biomass utilization.*  
*Ryan to Mike: How long did the QLG take to develop a proposal? Mike – QLG started in 1992, and the proposal took a year and a half to create and finalize, while meeting at least once a month.*  
*Ryan – The agreement doesn't require a proposal to be created, so let's not limit ourselves if the support isn't there.*  
*Nancy – We need to create some maps with past, present, and planned projects to present at the next meeting. Also show the Cradle Valley tribal collaboration project in the Last Chance/Squaw Creek area.*  
*Ryan – CLFR website is up to date, need priority watershed layer.*  
*Nils – We will need to define 'priority watershed' for the group. It would be best if we could integrate multiple elements and goals to create a successful proposal. Hearing questions*



## Plumas Collaborative Landscape Restoration



*about the Lakes Basin and Plumas Eureka projects. (Rachael concurs). Steve Munson had requested spatial data of planned QLG projects that were never implemented.*

*Nancy – He mostly wanted this to show the scale and need of biomass removal and for lobbying.*

*Ryan – Those resources for Steve M are already available: SOPA, current projects including timber projects are advertised on the PNF website. Projects include volume, acres, and biomass. Projects in areas where biomass can't be utilized show a zero balance for biomass.*

*Mike – Shouldn't show a zero for biomass, it undermines our need.*

*Nancy – Efforts through Johnathan Kusel came the closest to estimating how much biomass is in the woods including backlogged burn piles. Could gather this information for one of the next meetings.*

*Ryan – A lot of the past QLG projects that were dropped were done so because of special resources concerns. Some projects are making a resurgence, like the Hayden project, which was treated under the 2001 framework and is being revisited to better treat the land.*

*Action Item – Ryan provide priority watershed layer and Cradle Valley layer if available to add to maps.*

- Updates and changes to the upcoming meeting agenda

*Mike – Rachael clean up discussion from the last meeting on Name, Vision, and Mission and present an update on these discussions rather than recreate the entire process. Have a discussion of current and planned projects. CFLR proposal requires projects so let's showcase our current projects and how they could benefit from support of a collaborative.*

*Nancy – Deb (Bumpus) may be there, have the group decide on a type of field trip and date.*

*Mike – QLG field trips used to include a segment of having the participants do a mock tree marking and explain their reasoning as a learning experience.*

*Action Item: Rachael to update agenda and email to participants as well as post on website.*

2:00-2:30—

- Discussion on attending meetings of other groups to find interested people

*Rachael presented the idea of attending meetings of other groups to introduce the CFLR. The group was supportive of the idea, Ryan mentioned that Ryan Bauer is involved with the Feather River Land Trust, NRCS, and the Feather River College and he may be able to introduce the CFLR to those groups. Other groups suggested include the Maidu Cultural Development Group, the Plumas Audubon, and the Fish and Game Commission. Rachael mentioned TREC, SBTS, Trout Unlimited, Backcountry Horseman, Plumas Recreation and Technology, the PCT, and IRWM.*

*Nils – The FSC has an agenda item on Thursday to discuss becoming a signatory of the IRWM. June 1<sup>st</sup> is a call for projects through the IRWM. Projects have to benefit priority watersheds and only signatories can sponsor projects.*

*Ryan – Is it likely that the FSC will be in support?*



## *Plumas Collaborative Landscape Restoration*



*Mike – Hard to say.*

*Ryan – Forest Service cannot be a proponent but can partner to receive Prop 1 funds.*

*Action Item: Rachael will start attending some of these other meetings, if any can be delegated the process can be expedited.*

- May 20<sup>th</sup> Meeting location  
*Website votes lean towards Graeagle Fire Hall, may be a bit large but the other areas are small. Mohawk Valley Resource Center is a good second.*  
*Rachael to reserve a meeting location.*
- Fieldtrip update – pick a date  
*To be discussed at the May 20<sup>th</sup> meeting.*

*Meeting ended at 2:40.*